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ABSTRACT

In this study, geological and geotechnical studies were carried out on the soil of a bridge built in 2015 in the Gültepe District of Batman City, located in southeastern Turkey, to perform the foundation settlement calculation. For this purpose, four foundation boreholes were drilled to determine the engineering parameters of the soil, and triaxial pressure and consolidation tests were carried out on the obtained samples. The consolidation settlement calculation was made in accordance with these parameters. Using the same data, numerical analysis was performed with PLAXIS V.8.2 (Finite Element Code for Soil and Rock Analyses), a two-dimensional finite element package program. The consolidation settlement value was calculated by performing dynamic analysis based on time in the computer software. The settlement value was determined to be 1.70 cm after the analysis, and it was evaluated by correlating with the consolidation settlement analysis results made according to Bowles (1988). Consolidation settlement calculations and finite element package program analyses gave similar results. We observed liquefaction risk and settlements in the structures with shallow foundations in the residential zone near the study area since the soils in the study area consist of silty sand of 5-10 meters and have groundwater. The Bored Pile technique, which is one of the soil improvement methods, was applied and discussed in order to determine the geological problems in the study field.

Doi: 10.24012/dumf.834478
**Introduction**

Soil has a heterogeneous structure; however, it can show changes on the meter or even centimeter scale. Soil is important in terms of being used as a building material and carrying the weights of engineering structures, such as buildings, bridges, roads and dams.

In designing and making stability calculations of big structures, such as bridges, it has become mandatory to determine the physical and mechanical properties of the foundation and the materials being used, as well as understanding the deformations developed against the forces on the charge [1]. The most prevalent factors in the settlement and collapse of bridges are as follows: the wear of the slope and the pier foundations, sliding of the slope and pier foundations, washing of the slope and pier foundations, deformation of the foundation, overloading the bridge, receiving more flood waters than expected, earthquakes, and poor calculation of the wind effects on suspension bridges. Therefore, it is quite important to consider settlements under the stress effect, which is transferred to the foundation soil in the design of engineering structures, such as bridge piers. Settlements formed beneath the structures, especially different settlements, may have negative effects on the structural behavior [2].

Soil is a non-homogeneous and anisotropic material. PLAXIS (static, dynamic stress analysis, and modeling program) is a finite element program that can be used for examining soil behavior. Many researchers conducted studies using the PLAXIS program. Brinkgreve et al. [3] used the two-dimensional PLAXIS 2D (Finite Element Code for Soil and Rock Analysis) software, which is based on the finite element method. Deformation analysis of different foundation types that settle on the soil can be performed with this program. Sert et al. [4] used the "Sensitivity Analysis and Parameter Change" option of the PLAXIS 2D software, which has been developed for analysis with the finite element method. In the models they obtained, they observed that the cohesion value in the clay had a greater impact on the results than the elasticity modulus of the shear resistance angle in the sand. There are many studies in the literature conducted with the PLAXIS program [5-7]. Enkhtur et al. [8] made settlement calculations by using three different numerical analyses in their study on the numerical analysis of the shallow foundation settlement. Mısır and Laman [9] examined the load bearing capacities of the circular foundations that settle on the granular filling layer built on remolded clayey soil with laboratory model experiments. They observed that the experimental data was in harmony by comparing the experimental data with an analytical relation.

**Geology**

Batman is located in the southeast of the Diyarbakir region between the Raman and Kura Mountains (Figure 1). When the geological features of Batman province are examined, it is seen that ophiolitic rocks and sliced metamorphic rocks belonging to the Eurasian plate are located in the north of the Bitlis-Zagros Suture Zone (BZSZ) [10]. The oldest unit observed in Batman province and its surroundings is the Hoya Formation of the Midyat Group consisting of a sparsely argillaceous limestone level, limestone, dolomitic limestone, and dolomites of Lower Eocene-Lower Oligocene age; it is covered by the Germik Formation, consisting of locally dolomitic limestone and argillaceous limestone of Oligocene age, and it is followed by the Şelmo Formation of Middle-Upper Miocene age, consisting of conglomerate, sandstone, and mudstone. The Quaternary basalts forming Kura Mountain are on the Şelmo Formation; the Quaternary units consisting of alluviums are on the surface of the Batman River Valley and the surroundings of Batman (Figure 2). The Şelmo Formation is located in the city center of Batman and forms a large part of the study area [11]. This formation of Upper Miocene age consists of alternations of conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, shale, and marl. The layer slopes of the Şelmo Formation in the region where sedimentation occurs in a fluvial and delta environment have directions and angles of short distances. This is due to the folds and strike-slip faults that occur as a result of tectonic events. Lithologically, it consists of alternations of pink, red, and brown coarse-grained, thick-bedded conglomerate with polygenic elements; sandstone with white and gray coarse-grained, thin, and indistinct bedding, with poorly cemented and polygenic elements; dirty yellow siltstone; white and light gray shale; light gray and yellowish marl and dark-greenish gray thin
shale; and conglomerate containing yellowish-greenish gray sandstones [12-15].

Figure 1. Survey site location

Figure 2. Geological map of Batman City (modified from The Institute of Mineral Research and Exploration (MTA) 1:500,000 scale geological map, [10])
Materials and methods

Materials

In this study, four foundation boreholes (BH) were drilled to a total depth of 80 m in order to determine the geological characteristics of the soil during the field surveys carried out at the Gültepe bridge, which is located in Batman city center (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Three-dimensional view of the study area

The dominant lithology of the study area is weathered claystone, in which siltstone and sandstone levels take the form of lenses. Batman City is at a risky position for settlement because most of the settlements in the city have been built on alluvium. In the area where the city was founded and the basin behind it, most of the lithological structure is clayey and impermeable (Figure 4a). In the drilled foundation boreholes, consolidated and brownish silty clay with low inorganic plasticity was observed between 0.5 and 5 m. Silty sand was observed between 5-10 m. Consolidated and brownish silty clay with low inorganic plasticity was observed between 10-20 m (Figure 4b).
In order to determine the carrying capacity of the units in the study area, calculations were made using the data obtained from a triaxial compression strength test carried out in the laboratory on the samples taken from the borehole. According to this, the ultimate bearing capacity for the shallow foundations is calculated using the Terzaghi and Peck [16] relation. Table 1 shows the results of the triaxial test. If we determine that the local sliding fracture will occur within the soil (in soft or close-to-soft, in loose or close-to-loose soil), maximum bearing capacity should be reduced. This reduction is made by scaling down the cohesion and internal friction angle by 2/3. In order to achieve the best results for important areas and areas that have been exposed to natural disasters, cohesion (c) kg/cm² is multiplied by 2/3 [16].

Table 1. Results of the triaxial compression test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole N.</th>
<th>Depth (meters)</th>
<th>Cohesion (c) kg/cm²</th>
<th>Internal Friction Angle (ϕ°)</th>
<th>Natural density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH-1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-1</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-3</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-4</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. a) Soil appearance b) soil profile in the study area
Methods

In this study, the parameters required to perform the settlement calculation were determined as a result of the consolidation tests. The consolidation settlement calculation was made within the study using the equation Bowles [17] below.

\[ S_c = m_v \cdot H \cdot \Delta \sigma' \]  

(1)

In this equation, \( S_c \) symbolizes the consolidation settlement amount of the stratum, \( \Delta \sigma' \) is the effective stress increase in the middle of the stratum due to loading, \( m_v \) refers to the coefficient of volume compressibility, and \( H \) is the thickness of the clay stratum.

Analysis based on the stress distribution was conducted in the settlement calculation of the bridge piers in the study area. The vertical (V) and horizontal (H) method was employed in the mathematical statement of the stress distribution [18] (Figure 5).

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{net} \cdot B \cdot L}{(B+Z) \times (L+Z)} \]  

(2)

In the equation, \( q_{net} \) symbolizes net base pressure, \( B \) is the foundation width, \( L \) is the height, \( Z \) is the thickness effect, and \( \Delta \sigma \) represents the average stress increase in the soil stratum.

![Figure 5. Vertical (V)-Horizontal (H) method](image)

Findings

Results of the consolidation settlement calculation

The settlement values for each drilling, which are calculated by using the data obtained from the boreholes in the study area, range between 12.32 and 7.090 cm. Table 2 shows the settlement values calculated according to Bowles [17] for each borehole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Depth (meters)</th>
<th>Mv coefficient (cm²/kgf)</th>
<th>Settlement (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH-1 UD1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>11.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-1 UD2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.0183</td>
<td>7.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-2 UD1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-2 UD2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-3 UD1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-3 UD2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-4 UD1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-4 UD2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation results according to the stress distribution

The settlement values obtained from the study area are above the allowable values. No problems are expected in terms of excavation safety at this foundation depth. The soil, which consists of low plasticity clay (CL) and silty sand (SM) in accordance with the unified soil classification system (USCS), is not expected to cause a total and different settlement at a rate that can damage...
the above-mentioned engineering structure. In the study area, settlement between 11.93 and 12.32 cm is observed at 2 m and between 7.09 and 8.55 cm at 4.5 m.

**Bridge Pier 1- Settlement at 2 m**

\[ q_{\text{excavation}} = Df \gamma = 2 \times 19.20 = 38.4 \]  
\[ (3) \]

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{pier}} - q_{\text{excavation}} = 130 - 38.4 = 91.6 \text{ kPa} \]  
\[ (4) \]

\( Df = 2 \text{ m}, \) therefore, \( z \) is taken as 1.5 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 3 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{\text{net}} B' L}{(B+Z)(L+Z)} = \frac{91.6 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+1.5) \times (7.40+1.5)} = 43.52 \text{ kPa} = 0.435 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \]  
\[ (5) \]

\[ S = M_v \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0211 \times 1300 \times 0.435 = 11.93 \text{ cm} \]  
\[ (6) \]

**Bridge Pier 1- Settlement at 4.5 m**

\[ q_{\text{excavation}} = Df \gamma = 4.5 \times 19.34 = 87.03 \]  
\[ (7) \]

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{pier}} - q_{\text{excavation}} = 130 - 87.03 = 42.97 \text{ kPa} \]  
\[ (8) \]

\( Df = 4.5 \text{ m}, \) therefore, \( z \) is taken as 0.25 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 0.50 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{\text{net}} B' L}{(B+Z)(L+Z)} = \frac{42.97 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+0.25) \times (7.40+0.25)} = 36.34 \text{ kPa} = 0.369 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \]  
\[ (9) \]

\[ S = M_v \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.1083 \times 1050 \times 0.369 = 7.090 \text{ cm} \]  
\[ (10) \]

**Bridge Pier 2- Settlement at 2 m**

\[ q_{\text{kazi}} = Df \gamma = 2 \times 19.38 = 38.76 \]  
\[ (11) \]

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{pier}} - q_{\text{excavation}} = 130 - 38.76 = 91.24 \text{ kPa} \]  
\[ (12) \]

\( Df = 2 \text{ m}, \) therefore, \( z \) is taken as 1.5 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 3 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{\text{net}} B' L}{(B+Z)(L+Z)} = \frac{91.24 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+1.5) \times (7.40+1.5)} = 43.34 \text{ kPa} = 0.433 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \]  
\[ (13) \]

\[ S = M_v \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0202 \times 1300 \times 0.433 = 11.37 \text{ cm} \]  
\[ (14) \]

**Bridge Pier 2- Settlement at 4.5 m**

\[ q_{\text{excavation}} = Df \gamma = 4.5 \times 19.29 = 86.80 \]  
\[ (15) \]

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{pier}} - q_{\text{excavation}} = 130 - 86.80 = 43.2 \text{ kPa} \]  
\[ (16) \]

\( Df = 4.5 \text{ m}, \) therefore, \( z \) is taken as 0.25 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 0.50 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{\text{net}} B' L}{(B+Z)(L+Z)} = \frac{43.2 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+0.25) \times (7.40+0.25)} = 37.14 \text{ kPa} = 0.371 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \]  
\[ (17) \]

\[ S = M_v \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0202 \times 1050 \times 0.371 = 7.86 \text{ cm} \]  
\[ (18) \]

**Bridge Pier 3- Settlement at 2 m**

\[ q_{\text{excavation}} = Df \gamma = 2 \times 19.25 = 38.5 \]  
\[ (19) \]

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q_{\text{pier}} - q_{\text{excavation}} = 130 - 38.5 = 91.5 \text{ kPa} \]  
\[ (20) \]

\( Df = 2 \text{ m}, \) therefore, \( z \) is taken as 1.5 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 3 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{\text{net}} B' L}{(B+Z)(L+Z)} = \frac{91.5 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+1.5) \times (7.40+1.5)} = 43.47 \text{ kPa} = 0.434 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \]  
\[ (21) \]

\[ S = M_v \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0215 \times 1300 \times 0.434 = 12.13 \text{ cm} \]  
\[ (22) \]
Bridge Pier 3- Settlement at 4.5 m

\[ q_{excavation} = Df \times \gamma = 4.5 \times 19.42 = 87.39 \quad (23) \]

\[ q_{net} = q_{pier} - q_{excavation} = 130 - 87.39 = 42.61 \text{ kpa} \quad (24) \]

Df = 4.5 m, therefore, \( z \) is taken as 0.25 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 0.50 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{net} B' L}{(B+Z) \times (L+Z)} = \frac{42.61 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+0.25) \times (7.40+0.25)} = 36.63 \text{ kPa} = 0.366 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \quad (25) \]

\[ S = M_p \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0202 \times 1050 \times 0.366 \times 7.77 \text{ cm} \quad (26) \]

Bridge Pier 4- Settlement at 2 m

\[ q_{excavation} = Df \times \gamma = 2 \times 19.34 = 38.68 \quad (27) \]

\[ q_{net} = q_{pier} - q_{excavation} = 130 - 38.68 = 91.32 \text{ kpa} \quad (28) \]

Df = 2 m, therefore, \( z \) is taken as 1.5 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 3 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{net} B' L}{(B+Z) \times (L+Z)} = \frac{91.32 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+1.5) \times (7.40+1.5)} = 43.38 \text{ kPa} = 0.433 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \quad (29) \]

Bridge Pier 4- Settlement at 4.5 m

\[ q_{excavation} = Df \times \gamma = 4.5 \times 19.40 = 87.30 \quad (31) \]

\[ q_{net} = q_{pier} - q_{excavation} = 130 - 87.30 = 42.7 \text{ kpa} \quad (32) \]

Df = 4.5 m, therefore, \( z \) is taken as 0.25 since the clay stratum thickness will be 5 m and the thickness effect will be 0.50 m.

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{q_{net} B' L}{(B+Z) \times (L+Z)} = \frac{42.7 \times 2 \times 7.40}{(2+0.25) \times (7.40+0.25)} = 36.71 \text{ kPa} = 0.367 \text{ kgf/cm}^2 \quad (33) \]

\[ S = M_p \times H \times \Delta \sigma = 0.0222 \times 1050 \times 0.366 \times 8.55 \text{ cm} \quad (34) \]

The models obtained with PLAXIS

PLAXIS V.8.2 (Finite Element Code for Soil and Rock Analyses) [19] is computer software that has been designed to analyze and determine problems in Geotechnical Engineering, such as deformation and stability, with the finite element method, and enables the asymmetric modeling of plane deformation and soil rock behavior. Tables 3 and 4 show the characteristics of soil, bored pile, and bridge parameters for the model used.

Table 3. The characteristics of soil parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis parameters of the clayey soil</th>
<th>Analysis parameters of silty sand soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal friction angle ( \phi' )</td>
<td>Internal friction angle ( \phi' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil unit weight ( \gamma ) (kN/m(^3))</td>
<td>Soil unit weight ( \gamma ) (kN/m(^3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion ( c ) (kN/m(^2))</td>
<td>Cohesion ( c ) (kN/m(^2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio ( \nu )</td>
<td>Poisson ratio ( \nu )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus ( E ) (kg/cm(^2))</td>
<td>Young’s modulus ( E ) (kg/cm(^2))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal friction angle ( \phi' )</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil unit weight ( \gamma ) (kN/m(^3))</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion ( c ) (kN/m(^2))</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio ( \nu )</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus ( E ) (kg/cm(^2))</td>
<td>2387.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal friction angle ( \phi' )</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil unit weight ( \gamma ) (kN/m(^3))</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion ( c ) (kN/m(^2))</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio ( \nu )</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus ( E ) (kg/cm(^2))</td>
<td>1323.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The characteristics of piling, bridge, and load parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material type</th>
<th>Bored pile parameters</th>
<th>Elastic</th>
<th>Bridge parameters</th>
<th>Elastic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA (Axial stiffness)</td>
<td>4.58x10^6 kN/m</td>
<td>1.91x10^6 kN/m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI (Bending stiffness)</td>
<td>83480 kNm²/m</td>
<td>34780 kNm²/m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Load | 100 kN/m² |

Since PLAXIS is two-dimensional the bridge was modeled in the depth and horizontal (x) with the perspective from the irrigation channel beneath it (Figure 6). The bridge piers in the model gathered at one point as one on the right and one on the left. Bridge loads were formed according to the static loads and drainage conditions. The model was created by using three strata at different depths. The geometry of the model includes: foundation at 0-2 m, silty clay at 0-5 m, silty sand at 5-10 m, silty clay at 10-20 m, and bored pile at 0-18 m for the analysis (Figure 6). Figure 7 shows the finite element network.

Figure 6. Model geometry
Pore water pressure increases linearly starting at the groundwater level. The capillary zone is under negative stress due to the tensile stress of water. In the drillings carried out in the survey area, the groundwater level was at 15 m. The groundwater level should be determined before carrying out the analysis in the calculation section of PLAXIS. Therefore, the active pore water pressure stemming from the weight of the soil and its position 15 meters beneath the groundwater level were created in Figure 8, before building the bridge and bored piles.

**Figure 7. Finite element network**

**Figure 8. Groundwater level**
Figures 9 and 10 show the displacement vectors obtained with the analysis result conducted in PLAXIS. These are the respective total and vertical displacement vectors, and displacements are seen to intensify in the silty sand soil in between.

**Figure 9. Total displacement vectors**

**Figure 10. Vertical displacement vectors**
The displacement values obtained with PLAXIS are as follows: total displacement of \(44.30 \times 10^{-6}\) m and vertical displacement if \(17.02 \times 10^{-6}\) m, and these values are negligible.

Effective stresses control the important engineering behaviors, such as compaction, shape deformation, and resistance to shear stresses of the soil. In other words, effective stress is affected by the pore water pressure beneath the groundwater stratum and the total vertical pressures. In the effective stresses in Figure 11, a concentration can be seen around the bored piles on the silty clay soil in the lowest stratum, and this concentration can be said to affect the silty sand soil in the upper stratum.

In the bored pile technique applied in the study area, piles, bridge foundations, bridges, and bridge loads are defined in the program, and active pore water pressures occurring on the soil are also shown in Figure 12. Accordingly, it can be said that the pore water pressures from the lowest stratum of the silty clay soil to the silty sand soil with a height of 5 m between, and there may be a risk of liquefaction in this area. During liquefaction, the pore water pressure increases between the grains that form the soil. As soon as the pore water pressure is equal to the total stress, the friction force between the grains reaches zero. Settlement problems occur in the foundation soil.

![Figure 11. Effective stresses](image)
Considering that the soil is mainly clay in the study area, it is thought that consolidation settlement analysis is necessary. The settlement value was found to be 1.70 cm in the consolidation settlement analysis conducted with the temporal dynamic analysis of PLAXIS. In accordance with the analysis results, we conclude that the structure does not have an issue in terms of settlement. The low pebbly clay level in the study area is the unit where the foundation will settle, and soil improvement methods are required for construction. Therefore, bored pile technique was applied in the area as a soil improvement method. Figure 13 shows the three-dimensional view of the bored pile technique applied in the area and each Bored Pile has an 80 cm diameter and is 18 m in length. Excavation was primarily made at the building site in the study area, followed by the manufacturing of a total of 40 bored piles, each of which are 80 cm in diameter, 1800 cm in length, and 150 cm apart. Then, the building was completed after making the column footing, calculating the vehicle loads, and the design process.
Figure 13. Three-dimensional view of the bored pile application in the study area

Conclusions
Four foundation boreholes were drilled in order to determine the lithological and geological conditions of the soil, as well as the engineering parameters in the Batman city Gültepe location. During the drilling of the borehole, assessments were made with the upper and lower depths of the soil strata, sampled levels, groundwater level, and all other observations. Settlements and stresses occurring at the base of the bridge legs in the study area were determined using the PLAXIS computer software, and the models created were correlated according to the geological data. Since the groundwater level is deeper than the foundation level, its impact on our foundation is not considered. However, the groundwater poses a risk to the liquidity of the sandy soil unit between 5 and 10 m depth. A settlement analysis was also conducted with PLAXIS, and this settlement value almost supported the consolidation settlement results. In the settlement calculation of the structure to be built (according to USCS class), the soil consisting of low plasticity clay (CL) and silty sand (SM) is not expected to cause a total and different settlement at a rate that can damage the above-mentioned engineering structure. Due to the fact that the soil consists of 5-10 m of silty sand in the study area, there is a risk of liquefaction due to the presence of groundwater, and settlements are observed in structures with shallow foundations in residential areas close to the study area, bored piling, which is one of the soil improvement techniques, was applied for the proposed bridge.
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